## Approved Minutes

### Roster

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>College</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlos Lopez</td>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>DAS President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Crump</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>DAS Secretary</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Aguilar</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>AS President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alisa Shubb</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>AS Vice President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janay Lovering</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>AS Secretary</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tressa Tabares</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>AS Past President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Arden-Ogle</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>AS President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Marchand</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>AS Vice President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Aldredge</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>AS Secretary</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Oliver</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>AS Past President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Haug</td>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>AS President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tina Royer</td>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>AS Vice President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Fletcher</td>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>AS Secretary</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gayle Pitman</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>AS President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Petite</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>AS Vice President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Guzman</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>AS Secretary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Myers</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>AS Past President</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyan Pease</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>District Curriculum Coordinating Committee (DCCC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Dieli</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>District Educational Technology Committee (DETC)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminaries

1. Call to Order at 3:00pm
   - Introduction of Guests
   - Approval of the Agenda---approved.
   - Approval of Minutes (March 19)---approved.
   - Announcement--- Aldredge invited all faculty to attend the Celebration of Excellence for Students of African Descent (COEA) on Friday, May 10th at St. Paul Missionary Baptist Church in Oak Park.

Information Items

1. ASCCC Area A meeting (March 22, San Joaquin Delta College) Recap
   ASCCC President John Stanskas was present to provide updates. He shared copies of two memos---1) new funding formula (in response to query from legislative staff) and 2) response to a resolution from Fall 2018 Plenary Session in which he listed five areas of need for improvement and 11 areas where things have improved. Stanskas noted that he doesn’t believe the Board of Governors would do anything with a vote of no confidence from the ASCCC, i.e. would not be effective, but he would do whatever the Body directs. Several DAS members wondered if Stanskas- response might have been different if it had written the memo after two recent events---appointment of Kim Perigo (faculty from San Diego Mesa College) and the last BOG meeting which has been described as “interesting.”

2. LRCCD Board of Trustees (March 20, CRC) Recap
   Lopez reported that the Faculty Hiring Manual was approved. He also noted an action item for the naming of the SAFE Credit Union Math Learning Space in the new STEM Building at ARC.

3. Chancellor’s Cabinet Recap

4. Los Rios Plenary Dinner
   Lopez has requested funding from LRCFT to sponsor dinner on Thursday night which will provide an opportunity for networking among the senate leadership of the four colleges.

Decision Items
1. LRCCD Resolution on Collegial Consultation

SCC---in favor
FLC---in favor but, with reservations about it being premature since we recently had the
IBA process and have not allowed time for the agreements to take effect
ARC---in favor
CRC---in favor

**Action:**
To approve Resolution on collegial consultation (see Attachment B)

M/S/U Aguilar/Myers

---

**Discussion Items**

1. Los Rios Online Education Consortium Update (Nye) and 2. Proposal to add Distance Education Coordinators (Nye)

Nye provided the context for the discussion. All four colleges are part of the CVC Course Exchange through the California Virtual Campus-Online Education Initiative (CVC-OEI) with the goal of 20% of the online courses from each of the colleges being available on the Exchange by 2020 (goal of “20 by 20”).

Two discussion items:
   1) Course approval process, and
   2) Online Course Design Coordinators

**Course Approval Process**---there will be a meeting of Distance Education Coordinators and Instructional Design Coordinators this week to discuss the various proposals for the course approval process with the hope that there can be agreement on a process that can be used by all four colleges. There were queries why there needs to be a single process as opposed to respecting the autonomy of each of the colleges---we can have “coordination” without “uniformity.”

Nye also noted the interest of the District in having one process districtwide for course approval to ensure a diversity of offerings and a consistent compensation model, an LRCFT interest.

**Coordinators**-

There will be three coordinator positions, one each to be located at CRC, FLC, and SCC with the purpose of providing support to faculty with online courses and to bring the courses up to OEI rubric standards. The priority of the coordinators will be to work with the faculty at their assigned college to attain the “20 by 20” goal, and once that is attained, to work with faculty from other colleges. The coordinator positions will be for a three-year reassignment and available to tenured faculty from any of the colleges (e.g. ARC faculty member could be assigned to CRC). There will be backfill provided for the three reassigned positions---this FTE will be assigned to the college that provides the coordinator(s).

Part B of the OEI Course Rubric deals with accessibility issues and Nye noted that there will be a shared classified position (located at ARC) for this purpose.

Nye noted that there will be one job description for the coordinator positions. A draft description has been developed and he will provide it to Lopez in order for him to share it with the college senate presidents for the purpose of discussion by the college senates.

The District has been in talks with LRCFT to provide for consistent compensation across the district.
Nye expressed interest in getting DAS concurrence with the coordinator proposal in a timely manner in order to publicize the positions to faculty later this semester. DAS discussed several possible ways to provide the response, most likely with a special DAS meeting.

**Action:**
Lopez will forward the draft coordinator job description to college senate presidents.

3. LRCCD Guided Pathways Shared Design Principles
College presidents and senate presidents will meet later this week to prepare a joint draft list of Design Principles. Lopez will share with DAS by Thursday morning.
In response to the query to whether the principles are aspirational or mandates, Lopez noted that they are pretty high level. They are not mandates, but serve as stronger than aspirational principles. It was noted that there will need to be vetting by senates due to a host of 10+1 issues—senates need to feel empowered to have a role in shaping the principles.

**Action:**
Lopez to distribute list by early Thursday morning.

4. Academic Senate Constitution revisions

5. LRCCD Email protocol
The senate presidents had a meeting with Chancellor King after the recent Chancellor’s Cabinet. One of the issues/conversations was ability for anyone to share something with everyone on the Outlook email Exchange. King stated that an effort to limit email rights cannot be an administrator-led response, but must be faculty-led.
How does academic freedom come into this? It was noted that there is an article in the LRCFT on academic freedom. Maybe this is an issue for the Senate Union Joint Issues Committee (SUJIC)?
After the meeting, senate presidents were requested to go to senates and see if there was interest in changing our email protocol.

ARC—found it to be annoying, but nothing serious or harmful. There is an absolute desire to talk about guidelines and protocol. Senate supports some action taken against the sender if the message is of an egregious or pejorative nature. We need a consequence or something against that sender. What about a college-by-college decision? There was real concern expressed on who should and who shouldn’t be allowed to send a message to Everyone on Exchange. Concerns about equity—who would be able to send Everyone messages. The example was given of an Everyone message about the ARC indigenous land statement that might not have been publicized if there had been a limiting of messages to Everyone.

FLC—closely approximate to ARC. An interest in transparency, FLC wants to keep that ability.

CRC—discussed at their Exec meeting—“to change is to lose too many good opportunities.”

SCC—discussed at college Exec Council—the college Public Information Officer provided some examples of policies.

**Reports**

1. Meeting with Chancellor/ Vice Chancellor—basically Discussion Item 2.
2. College Academic Senate President Reports—no report.

3. District Curriculum Coordinating Committee (Pease)—see Attachment A.

4. District Matriculation & Student Success Committee (Lambert)—no report.

5. District Educational Technology Committee (Dieli)—no report.

6. Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (May)—Spring Session from April 11-13, SFO Westin, Millbrae.

7. Los Rios College Federation of Teachers (Presidents/Perrone)—no report.

Adjourned at 4:58.

Future Events
Next DAS meeting – May 7, Main Conference Room, DO
● LRCCD Board of Trustees Meeting, April 10, 2019, Board Room, DO
● ASCCC Area A Meeting, March 23, San Joaquin Delta College, Stockton
● ASCCC 2019 Spring Plenary Session, April 11-13, Westin San Francisco Airport
● ASCCC 2019 Career and Noncredit Institute, April 25-27, San Diego
● ASCCC 2019 Faculty Leadership Institute, June 13-16, Sacramento
● ASCCC 2019 Curriculum Institute, July 10-13, Hyatt San Francisco Airport

************************************************************
ATTACHMENT A:

DCCC Report to the District Academic Senate, April 2, 2019

1. Curriculum: All courses and programs on the March 29, 2019 DCCC agenda were approved. New courses and programs and deletions will appear on the April Board of Trustees agenda.

2. Competency Committees: The DCCC accepted the recommendation of the District Math Skills Graduation Competency Committee to approve MATH 300 (FLC) for Math Competency. The DCCC requested more documentation on the denial of POLS 382 (CRC) for Math Competency. The DCCC will consider matter at the next meeting on April 26, 2019. It was felt that the Math Competency committee did not provide a reasonable explanation for the denial.

3. New Designators/Thematic Blocks/Families: The DCCC suspended the rules and completed a first and second reading on the following new designators and thematic blocks: ARC: Evela new designator and thematic blocks; CRC: HUM new thematic block. Families: The DCCC, noting a noncompliance issue with families in KHA throughout the district, has been working with the KHA faculty on the need to develop family groups that meet the repeatability guidelines required by law.

4. Collaboration Requests: There are currently six open collaboration requests in the District. Progress is monitored by the Curriculum Chairs at each college.

5. SOCRATES Advisory Group (SAG): Topics discussed at the last SAG meeting include the FLC online catalog demonstration and the new CIP Code feature in the COR for programs. The instruction offices will be able to enter CIP Codes where needed. Board approval date can now be entered for
all certificates, and the state ID number also appears. New CB Codes forthcoming. Changing the
GE classifications could be finished manually, but there is an interest in allowing first rights of
refusal to AOs for release time to complete the task.

6. **District Report:** The most recent PPC list was approved in March and is accessible to interested
parties on the District website.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dyan Pease
DCCC Chair