

District Accreditation Coordinating Committee

January 27, 2021

Notes (approved 2/24/21)

Members & Others Present: Jamey Nye, Julie Oliver, Kim Harrell, Francis Fletcher, Dyan Pease, Monica Pactol, Emily Bond, Ashu Mishra, Linsey Campbell, Carl Sjovold, Betty Glycer-Culver, Bernadette Anayah, Adam Karp, Gayle Pitman, Brian Robinson, Albert Garcia, Steven McDowell, Patti Leonard, Julie Olson

The Deputy Chancellor welcomed everyone, the notes from the last meeting were available for review in Outlook, and the agenda for today's meeting was reviewed and approved.

It was noted that Gohar Momjian, Vice President of Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, will be joining the meeting in February to answer any questions we have; and that the shared google file is being populated by HR, VPAs and IT to avoid any version trauma.

It was also noted that Jamey will notify the group when the drafts of the shared information are available. It was suggested that before they are accepted as final, that the campuses review them. It was agreed that by February 10th, the drafts will be available for review, and any suggestions for changes be made by February 24th. A final version of the shared information will be available by March 10th for incorporation into each campus's ISER.

Concern was expressed about the uniformity/non-specific language of the district's pieces and it was noted that they are district functions and should be uniform. The campuses can use the evaluation/narrative sections to note how these functions might be tailored at the campus.

Review College & District Timelines

No issues with the timelines were noted.

College Updates

- ARC – putting text around the evidence they have collected. Quality Focus Essay Topic has been reviewed and approved by President Dixon.
- CRC – it was noted that they are on track. They hired a technical editor to put the drafts together.
- FLC – their first draft is done; they are on track, but have not yet identified a Quality Focus Essay Topic.
- SCC – they noted that they did a lot of work in the fall. They have a faculty editor and a classified person assigned to assist with the collection of evidence. A Quality Focus Essay topic has not yet been identified.

The Deputy Chancellor asked if there were any trouble spots or support needed at the campuses. It was asked how to handle areas that look like they need improvement and how to determine if they rise to the level of going into the work plan? How to get consensus at each campus on what's really a problem versus a generic complaint about something. How to handle continuing problems/issues. How to exclude perceived problems when the campus is actually meeting the standard in that area? How to not let the document become an airing of grievances? It was noted that keeping accreditation conversations going and people contributing/participating during remote operations has been more labor intensive.

Other Items

It was noted that the ACCJC will review the standards for the next accreditation cycle on February 1st.

Adjourned 2:40p