

Educational Technology Committee  
 October 26, 2023 (approved 11/30/23)

Name	Location	PR/ EX	Name	Location	PR/ EX
Jamey Nye (Co-Chair)	DO	x	Morgan Murphy (Co-Chair)	DAS	x
Pamela Bimbi	ARC-DE		Shar McCarrol	ARC	x
Alice Dieli	ARC	x	Lori Hokerson	ARC	
BJ Snowden	ARC-Adm		Jena Trench	CRC	x
TBA	CRC		Steve McDowell	CRC	
Gregory Beyrer	CRC-DE		Tyler Rollins	CRC-Adm	x
Rebecca Mendell	FLC	x	Jennifer Kraemer	FLC	
TBA	FLC-DE		Angela Prelip	FLC	
Matt Wright	FLC-Adm		Deborah Saks	SCC-Adm	
Charles Whipple	SCC		Kandace Knudson (Alt.)/DAPIC	SCC	x
Norman Lorenz	SCC		Brian Pogue	SCC-DE	x
Tak Auyeung	LRCFT		Patrick Crandley	SCC	x
Kate Williamson	Librarian	X	Manveer Bola	DO-AVCIT	x
Tammy Montgomery	DO-AVCI	x	Guest: Kevin Wong	DOIT	x

**Welcome & Announcements**

The zoom meeting was called to order at 3:00p

**Approval of Notes from September and the Agenda**

The agenda for today's meeting and the notes from September were approved.

Discussion was held on whether moving the date of committee meetings to stagger with District Academic Senate (DAS) meetings would be beneficial to getting Ed Tech's agenda items and/or updates to the forefront of faculty's attention at these meetings. Committee members who were past Ed Tech Co-chairs all noted the difficulty of gaining time on DAS agenda due to their already packed agenda. Other options would be to send out Ed Tech updates via e-mail to all faculty on DAS along with posting in Canvas to possibly reach more faculty with topics affecting the classroom. The committee will keep its current meeting schedule.

**Discussion Items**

TurnitinAI Detection - this topic was discussed in the LMS coordinators meeting relating to the question that DAS had about any kind of functional changes and workload issues it might create. DOIT had a meeting with the vendor but there was some conflicting information. The Deputy Chancellor asked if anyone was aware of any policies, procedures or guidance type documentation being developed related to artificial intelligence (AI) at their campuses and noted he would like to be supportive of efforts related to this topic. CRC's Academic Senate and Academic Integrity Committee have spoken about it briefly and will likely draft a recommendation. SCC is forming a subgroup of the Academic Senate whose official charge will

be to review AI topics. The desire to not have four different recommendations/regulations related to AI was noted as well as the Board's desire to have a report on this in the future.

CidiLabs Suite - . Anyone interested in testing out the CidiLabs Suite should contact Greg. This will be shared with DAS so that they are aware LMS coordinators desire to research this tool.

Respondus/Proctorio - This topic came up at DAS, and the faculty Co-chair provided them with information that this is a potential alternative to Proctorio.

District Technology Plan - the AVC of IT noted this was introduced at the last meeting, and he is working on forming a group to generate IT goals and strategies. It's anticipated that one meeting will be necessary but possibly more. The desire/need to include faculty input was noted and the DAS president should be contacted to appoint faculty members. Draft goals/strategies could be generated by interested faculty as a starting point for the task force that includes the DAS appointed faculty from each campus. It was noted that the consultant hired to assist with this task will also work on some starting point goals/strategies. Those identified as 10 + 1 in nature, could be highlighted to let faculty focus on those points but they would be welcomed to provide input on all the strategies/goals identified.

Student Multi-factor Authentication - The need for in-person IT support, especially in libraries, for the MFA rollout was noted. Past discussion regarding implementation dates resulted in the decision to implement January 4th prior to the start of the spring semester. DOIT has put together FAQs, articles, instructions, and videos as well as communication documents. They will also work with each campus' student tech support teams to prepare them to receive student questions. Finally they will also work with the VPSs to identify which areas of campus students generally come to for help to provide those staff with information on how to answer questions and/or where to direct students. It was noted there will be a web page dedicated to this change with all information posted including links to access this information with a friendly, short URL.

The AVC noted he responded to questions from DAS related to MFA and Canva and had some follow up conversations with Senate leadership who (1) still question why MFA is needed in Canvas and (2) noted the barriers that might pose to students. The Senate may forward a recommendation about not applying MFA to canvas. The aVC noted that he spoke with Sierra College who has already implemented MFA (after being "ransomware attacked") and their implementation of this change went relatively smoothly considering it impacted all students and faculty. Sierra noted their call centers did receive a lot of calls for about a month after implementation, but then stabilized. It was asked that this information be forwarded to DAS to assist them in their decision.

It was clarified that the recommendation from DOIT is that we have MFA for students, including in Canvas. The DAS is currently discussing the topic and they will likely make a recommendation either for or against installing MFA in Canvas. Once Ed Tech gets that recommendation, then it will be discussed if necessary.

The AVC IT noted that he spoke with the Student Advisory Council (SAC) and they requested a longer pre enrollment period to allow more time to adjust to the new process. The enrollment period will now begin December 4th.

## **Informational Updates**

DOIT-LMS – The software, “Impact” is being offered by CCCCO who will cover the cost for four years. Impact provides insights into how users are interacting with Canvas. DOIT hopes to have it in place by next term.

AVICI – no report

Instructional Accessibility Committee - Working on goals for the future; Looking at ways of promoting the accessibility support resources that are available; Looking at ways of working with counsel to report accurately the progress we've made to the California State auditor.

Library – no update

LMS/DE Updates were provided.

Adjourned at 4:30p.